

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/046,164

Applicant(s)

LAMPKINS, GARY W.

Examiner

/Jila M. Mohandesi/

Art Unit

3728

**All Participants:**(1) /Jila M. Mohandesi/(2) Gary W. Lampkins**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 July 2007**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Double patenting*

Claims discussed:

3-5

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lampkins (US 6,260,246)***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**JILA M. MOHANDESI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A rejection on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting was explained to the applicant. Applicant upon receiving the final office action will submit a timely filed terminal disclaimer in order to place the application in condition for allowance. .